

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/852,616

Applicant(s)

Lang et al.

Examiner

Ajay Vasudeva

Art Unit

3617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ajay Vasudeva(3) Mr. Thomas Lang(2) Mr. James Wray

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 12, 2002Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No. If yes, brief description:The applicant described the invention/technology in detail.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicant described the underlying technology of the instant invention. No claims were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Ajay Vasudeva
AJAY VASUDEVA
PATENT EXAMINER

12/12/2002

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required